ISSUE 2014/12 NOVEMBER 2014

DEFINING EUROP CAPITAL MARKET

this sense 'capital markets' should be under two separate agendas, there are links as shorthand for a long list of market segniture the two. The consolidation of supervisory whose common point is that they are not about ther banking policies within the banking bank intermediation. The list includes venture riap-area (which includes the euro area, plus all ital, private equity investment, public equity-euro EU member states that may join the issuance and initial public offerings, corp interested Eupervisory Mechanism on a voluntary bond issuance, corporate debt securitisation to be cooperation' basis) will inevitably trigger a direct purchase of loans by insurers and invest for policy integration in related activities, for ment funds from banks, and credit intermediation ple accounting and auditing policies which by specialised non-bank financial firms, such easimportant inputs to the supervisory leasing companies or consumer finance corraprework.

nies. CMU is therefore of a fundamentally differ-

ent nature from banking union: the closen state above, capital markets and non-bank the two policy slogans, banking union and Civilah cisal intermediation cover myriads of sega rather unhelpful false symmetry. In the careerofs and sub-segments, and are characterised banking union, the main objective is to centralise ignificant intensity of innovation. CMU policy a banking policy framework, the fragmentatish not seek to freeze market structures in which along national lines has been prthein currently underdeveloped form but, on the deeply dysfunctional in the EU context, becaustary, to create a favourable environment for the incentives of individual national supervibors evelopment of new intermediation segments to be driven by banking nationalism collide and thew financing contracts, with effective but not their prudential mandate. The aim of baekoressive safeguards against systemic risk. In union is not to develop banking in the EU; ratherespect, it is somewhat odd that some early is to check its existing development with an adjections of blueprints for CMU tended to read quate supervisory system. By contrast, in @Mdatalogues of market segments, as if each of centralisation is not the primary driver and ishes be needed to be specifically legislated to fulfil ordinated to the developmental agenda. its potential Rather than this curiodisigiste impulse, a more growth-friendly CMU approach

Nevertheless, a measure of policy centralistation of embody a form of fina Occitation general is needed to realise the CMU ambitiotik, setting an adequate framework for the invendevelopment of EU capital markets and nontidoran land development of efficient financial intermediation, for at least three reasons. First, whice and contractual arrangements. crisis has provided a reminder that adequate

regulation is indispensable to a prophenlyambitious CMU agenda will face challenges. As functioning financial system: in accordance withys in finance, it will displace powerful interthe subsidiarity principle, such regulation must be starting with those of banks, which intensely provided at least partly at the scale of the notistice the prospect of competition from alternatiself, which in the CMU vision is pan-Europtivan filmancing channels. Banking advocates will order to avoid loopholes, regulatory arbitragream degainst the perils of 'shadow banking' and misaligned incentives. Second, experience with a principle at the price of deposit collection and high leverbanking union itself, suggests that an Europe welf eatures of deposit collection and high leverbanking union itself, suggests that an Europe welf risk. Furthermore, a capital-markets political economy constraints that have represented or isk. Furthermore, a capital-markets political economy constraints that have represented ideological scepticism, particularly in parts finance until now. Third, while banking union acoustinental Europe where markets are viewed

'Capital markets union policy should not seek to freeze market structures in their chirten (2014) 'Unlocking underdeveloped form but should create a favourable environment for the development of European intermediation segments and new financing contracts.'

a market segment does not necessarily make it prosper, and the potential impact of such initiatives should not be overestimated. However, they can have beneficial effects if they provide impetus for the removal of unnecessarily restrictive legislation in various member states. For example, onerous national rules that require non-bank lenders that do not take deposits to have a banking license should be dismantled. The EU legislation under discussion on European Long-Term Investment Funds also falls under this category.

2. Review of prudential frameworks

Regulators should reconsider prudential requirements that unnecessarily discourage investment in unrated corporate credit and other market segments. In banking regulation, there are suggestions that the current version of Basel III is too harsh on securitisation, and a discussion has started on their possible relaxation. A wider scope for review arguably exists in prudential requirements on insurers and pension funds, which have tended to mimic banking requirements, partly ignoring the fact that these players can legitimately take different risks from banks given the longer maturity of their liabilities. While so-called fair-value measurement is generally adequate for the financial accounting treatment of financial instruments, it is much less suitable for prudential accounting, especially of assets that match long-dated liabilities. The Solvency II Directive (for insurers) and the Occupational Pension Funds Directive should be reviewed accordingly, as well as EU positions in international negotiations. especially on capital requirements for globally active insurance firms.

7. See International Regulatory Strategy Group (2014) 'Briefing on the principles that should underpin the development of a Capital Markets Union in Europe', City of London/TheCityUK.

8. UK House of Lords (2014) transcript of evidence taken by the Select Committee on the European Union, Sub-Committee A on Economic and Financial Affairs, 9 September.

9. On a related theme, it is worth noting that the ECB has taken steps towards the gradual formation of a credit register that would cover the entire banking union area. See the ECB Decision of 24 February 2014 on the organisation of preparatory measures for the collection of granular credit data by the European System of Central Banks,

vis fybpedrosterotutseitrodugteningsslfol/soweai

by unanimity or through enhanced cooperateon functions to be developed within ESMA, could as well as simplification and stabilisation be denvisaged to meet concerns in the UK that EUnational tax regimes. In addition, the EU stavelle supervision might become too remote from build on existing studies and national experitances are main financial centre. to explore a rebalancing of the current differentiated tax treatment of equity and debt, which generally favours the latter to the detriment of the

former. polit totr forevel suanckank enbsevegof t natioiend

The third and fourth of these areas could result in the transfer of some regulatory and supervisory functions from the national to the EU level. It would be a mistake to bar such transferæpræri political no-go. EU-level supervision already exists within ESMA, for derivatives trade repositories and credit rating agencies. President Juncker's mission letter to Commissioner Hill asks him to reform ESMA's governance and funding: this reform should include consideration of ESMA's current and possible future expanded role as a supervisor, or whether EU-level supervisory functions for non-bank firms would be better placed in one or several new agencies to be created. A location in London for such a new agency or agencies, or for