ISSUE 2012/13 AUGUST 2012

THE CHALLENGES OF EUROPE'S FOURFOLD UNION

NICOLAS VRON

Highligh 🖪

 Five years after the first tremors in Europe's banking system, what makes t crisis unique is the absence of a democratically accountable decision-making

MIKIBUII

THE CHALLENGES OF EUROPE'S FOURFOLD UNION

NICOLAS VRON, AUGUST 2012

THE EURO AREA HAS MANY PROBLEMS but the relies on federal deposit insurance which itself core of the current crisis, what makes it unique itsuires a credible supranational fiscal Europe's insufficient ability to make authoritatheteckstop. And without the democratic policy and political decisions for the region ascerountability provided by political union, no whole. To correct this weakness, Europe muest integrated policy framework can be build a fourfold union that would allow such executainable.

utive decisions to be made. The four components

are: (1) a banking union, (2) a fiscal union, (2) unique must also overcome its tendency to competitiveness union, and (4) a political unique to permanent solutions, and acknowledge ie institutional reform to embed democratione need for pragmatic short-term actions that accountability more solidly in decision-making are tailored to the urgency of the crisis. Euro-

peans have repeatedly tried to resolve long-

The key issues for the euro area in this respectamer issues before deciding on short-term fixes, but that strategy is a luxury they no

- The deterioration of credit conditions in the ger have. Specifically regarding banking euro area stems less from inadequate desizues, a proper crisis management and resosions than from an absence of decisions whereion system must be put in place before all they were needed. This 'executive deficitlonger-term institutional questions are partly a consequence of the European institutionswered. tions' lack of democratic accountability, often
 - referred to as democratic deficit. It also con hus, leaders should establish a temporary tributes to a loss of European citizens' trustein area bank resolution authority, as none of those same institutions. The European Centhralexisting institutions has the skills and man-Bank (ECB) is a partial exception to this public that would allow it to perform the thanklem but cannot make up for the lack of decis task of identifying and restructuring failing siveness of the other institutions.

 In also con hus, leaders should establish a temporary guar-
- Accordingly, profound changes must be maddetees, including temporary central reinsurto Europe's institutional framework to makeniace of national deposit insurance systems by effective in resolving the current crisis and soon-to-be-created European Stability preventing future crises. An authoritative echanism (ESM) or by a more robust future European-level executive framework musentral financial instrument.
 oversee banking, fiscal and structural policies.
 - This executive framework must be make longer term, the euro area needs not only accountable to Europe's citizens, and for this ingle supervisory mechanism for banks but the European Parliament must become make a regionally based deposit insurance representative and exert better control overstem and a central resolution authority for policymaking. Those four components failing banks. The ECB can play a large role in banking, fiscal, competitiveness and politicals future framework but cannot be its only union will take several years to be completed mponent. National bank supervisors will They are mutually interdependent and mustretain many of their attributes but their govertaken together, ideally in parallel increments ance will need to change. Ultimately the bank-In particular, the completion of a banking union should cover all banks in the euro



area and possibly in other European Whater a long time in policymaking. In member states, even though it seems likethyspect, the lack of proactive decision-making that exceptions will be initially negotiated to the European level is striking. While the member states to exclude some smaller localisms on depiction is of a crisis of the euro-area periphery, it can equally be described as a failure from its oversight.

of the euro-area centre, by which I mean the

A breakup of the euro area would be disast noneschamisms and actors that determine executive Europeans and to a large extent for the plateal for the entire euro area as opposed to economy. The choices facing Europe's leadensiandual member states. Prominent among citizens are daunting. Their slow pace of dettisiser-are the European Commission, European making has exacted a heavy price from Euconomisil of EU member states' heads of state and economies, societies, and families. Greecernment, Economic and finance affairs remains a burning concern. No one can be a (\$50@BIN) council of EU member states' finance that the euro area would survive its disorder in iexisters, Eurogroup meeting of euro area but there is still no clear enforcement framement ber states' finance ministers, plus multiple available if its adjustment trajectory keeps arehoc subsets of euro area countries and

ing off track, as it has repeatedly over the lanstituations, such as French-German and Informacial Times years. Investors have good reasons to be networkly French-German-Italian or French-German, 2 August 2007.

Italian-Spanish meetings, the 'Frankfurt Group' in

Vot it is not too late for Europeans to take at the 2007 of the four remaining ours area to late for Europeans to take at the 2007 of the four remaining ours area to late for Europeans to take at the four remaining ours area to late for Europeans to take at the four remaining ours area to late for Europeans to take at the four remaining ours area to late for Europeans to take at the four remaining ours area to late for Europeans to take at the four remaining ours area to late for Europeans to take at the four remaining ours area to late for Europeans to take at the four remaining ours area.

Yet it is not too late for Europeans to take a taken 20014, or the four remaining euro area trible ded, in alphabetical ensure the survival, sustainability and successated countries in early 20712ere have been der: European monetary and economic union. occasional misguided decisions, such as @nmithission President José

designed bank recapitalisation plan adopt@anlfel Barroso; European The remainder of this Policy Contribution expressions of the such on these points and provides additional analysiss of commission have been less dameding an Jean-Claude

than the absence of decisions.

EUROPE'S EXECUTIVE AND DEMOCRATIC DEFICIT

European institutions have long been criticised for Christine Lagarde;

Europe's systemic financial crisis has beentbeingdemocratic deficit, and the crisis Markel; European on for five years. Its start can be dated backetaled an equally gaping executive definitionistioner Olli Rehn; German top banking supervisor Jochen Statomers these two feed each other: the Factor President Nicolas reported warning on 29 July 2007 of "the whemso cratic legitimacy contributes to the page live; and European banking crisis since 1931" while discussing the f executive decision-making; and Europe's van Rompuy. See for public bail-out of a medium-sized lender in the Bility to solve its collective problems described. public bail-out of a medium-sized lender, in Bility to solve its collective problems deepens le Peter Spiegel, 'EU Since then, European banking policymaker sitiages' distrust of its institutions. This is anothers Rome and Athens', been in continuous crisis management moderholub f'doom loop', political rather than finalite acid Times A have never been able to bring the intermontal less damaging than the one between 2011. market back to its normal state without exercipy and banking credit. To be fair to the Fixence ministers of tional government guarantees. As is well ksommanities involved, this failure must be see Finland, Germany, Luxembourg, and the from late 2009 the banking fragility was systemic problem of inadequate incentives and incentives and incentives and incentives and incentives are the controlled to the banking fragility was systemic problem. pounded in the euro area by the growing unstillutions, rather than a shortcoming of individings in the context of ingness of market investors to lend to sovereignesadership. the Greek debt restructuring

first Greece and later others, creating a mutually

reinforcing 'doom loop' between weak sove leigns sufficiently democratic nature of European examples sociated Press decision-making has many aspects. First, in the release of the second of and banking credit conditions. pean citizens lack equal representation iaothae

'EU institutions have been criticised for their democratic deficit; the crisis has revealed an Eurozone's equally gaping executive deficit. The lack of legitimacy contributes to the paralysis of Markets G20 Edition, 3 November decision-making; and Europe's inability to solve its problems deepens citizens' zlistrust.'

negotiations. See for

Juncker; International Monetary Fund Managing

4. See for example Nicolas

5. Press release No.



BRUEGEL POLIC CONTRIBUTION

- 13. Euro Area Summit Statement, Brussels, 29 June 2012
- 14. In my case, relevant references include 'Is Europe ready for a major banking crisis?Policy Brief 2007/03, Bruegel, August 2007; 'A solution for Europe's banking problem', with Adam Pos**₽o**licy Brief2009/03, Bruegel, June 2009; prepared statement on 'The European Debt and Financial Crisis: Origins, Options and Implications for the US and Global Economy', US Senate Committee on Banking, Housing and Urban Affairs, Subcommittee on Security and International Trade and Finance, hearing on 22 September 2011.
- 15. See in particular Dominique Strauss-Kahn, 'Crisis Management Arrangements for a European Banking System', keynote speech at the European Commission conference Building a Crisis Management Framework for the Internal MarkeBrussels, 19 March 2010.
- 16. European Commission press release IP/12/570, 'New crisis management measures to avoid future bank bail-outs', 6 June 2012.



ESM "to recapitalise banks directly. This would rely on appropriate conditionality [for each relevant member state], including compliance with state aid rules, which should be institution-specific,

19. Transcript of the President of the French Republic's press conference in Brussels, 23 May 2012, available in French on http://www.elysee.fr/president/les-actualites/conferences-de-presse/2012/conference-de-presse-de-m-le-president-de-la.13289.html.

20.Reuter,s'Merkel calls for body to supervise major EU banks', 4 June 2012.

21. For a discussion of this contrast see Morris Goldstein and Nicolas Véron, 'Too Big to Fail: The Transatlantic Debate', in J.F. Kirkegaard, N. Véron and G.B. Wolff (edispansatlantic Economic Challenges in an Era of Growing Multipolarity Peterson Institute/Bruegel Special Report 22 July 2012.

22. Interview with Mario Draghi ibe Mond&1 July 2012.

23. Gabriele Steinhauser and Brian Blackstone, 'Europe's Bank Shifts View on Bond Losse all Street Journal 16 July 2012.



BRUEGEL POLIC CONTRIBUTION



including the US Resolution Trust Corporaction commitments of support to the financial (1989-95), the Swedish Bank Support Autsector that exist at the level of individual member (1993-96), or, in the case of systemic is states. Here again, banking policy cannot be conbeyond the financial system the handan statistic in isolation from the other components of that restructured and sold the former Genoratold union.

Democratic Republic's state-owned enterprises in

resolution.

1990-94, or the US Presidential Task ForceToristine most obvious as regards the protection of Auto Industry that coordinated government pethiclydeposits, and more generally the prevention on Chrysler and GM in 2009. While none obtilities are capital flight, particularly in the more experiences passed completely smoothly, ftagile countries. As previously argued, European all suggest that a temporary, well-empower obtains makers should refrain from a blanket and force structure, obviously with adequate previously argued are previously argued for accountability and transparency, would represent a credible and well-suited response to the short-term challenge of European bank crisis

This leaves open the question of future ownership of those institutions that the temporary resolution authority would find insolvent following in-depth balance sheet assessment. In legal terms, those countries that do not currently have a special resolution regime for banks should pass emergency legislation to create one, and those that have one might also need emergency legislation to empower the temporary resolution authority at the euro-area level. Failed banks will generally need to be taken over by public authorities, but there might be no uniform framework by which public authorities will become equity owners. One can imagine a combination of national government ownership and ownership at the European level (specifically by the ESM as suggested by the euro area summit statement of June 29), depending on countries and individual bank situations. This should logically be negotiated by the temporary resolution authority together with the imposition of losses on relevant categories of creditors (excluding, of course, those which are covered by explicit guarantees). While these negotiations should be conducted with a sense of impartiality and evenhandedness across the euro area, differences in legal environments, banking structures and fiscal positions make it unadvisable, and arguably impossible, to adopt a one-size-fitsall approach.

Beyond this, crisis resolution and restructuring will necessarily involve significant financial risk-taking by public authorities – but these have to be compared to the current open-ended explicit and



antees until the completion of a credible, systemwide process of bank assessment as previously described.

Finally on the sequencing, several successive steps will be needed and policymakers should preserve as much flexibility as possible in their intervention framework. Even under the most optimistic assumptions, it would take at least 2-3 months to build a temporary European resolution authority; 3-4 more months to reach a comprehensive system-wide assessment of the balance sheet and capital positions of the most important banks (which would represent a sample comparable to that of the 2011 stress tests, say between 60 and 90 banks); and one or two additional months to negotiate the outline of restructuring packages for those banks found insolvent, which might number in the double rather than single digits. As a consequence, the disclosure of capital assessments, which can only be made once adequate backstop plans have been defined for failed



executive and problematic democratic accountability, which advises against delegating excessive discretionary power to the ECB. The ECB itself has signaled that it had no appetite to assume the inherently controversial task of bank resolution, including by stressing that the future banking union framework should allow the ECB to act "without risks to its reputationThus, it appears inevitable that the long-term framework will include a European resolution authority separate from the ECB, and also most likely separate from all other currently existing institutions for the reasons developed in the previous section. However, it is desirable that the resolution authority should be able to have close interaction with the ECB, particularly in times of crisis. For this reason it should preferably be located in Frankfurt, as geographical proximity would help in this respect even as the two institutions would remain separate.

The supervisory function has synergies both with the lender-of-last-resort role of the ECB, and with resolution authority. If the June 29 decision is to be implemented, the ECB will develop supervisory functions of its own in any case. It is likely that the resolution authority will require a supervisory mandate as well, as is the case with the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) in the United States; as in the US, it could be coupled with the deposit insurance function, even though a formally separate deposit insurance fund could be envisaged as well. Some overlapping of supervisory functions across two or more European institutions should of course be kept to a minimum to avoid duplication of some costs and complexity, but its existence should not necessarily be seen as a problem in itself: situations of overlap exist in several jurisdictions including the United States (Federal Reserve/FDIC/Office of the Comptroller of the Currency) but also Japan (Bank of Japan/Financial Services Agency) and Germany (Bundesbank/BAFin). If the euro area is to avoid such overlap, its leaders may need to envisage a



global level, and D-SIFIs as those that har brief and incomplete enumeration shows that systemically important at the domestic leven) and different parameters remain to be disshould also cover smaller banks, even thousaled in order to put in place a consistent permost operational duties related to these could arment institutional framework for the future should be devolved to national supervisors arthing union. In this context, it is to be hoped would also help maintain, or rather establishat pragmatism will prevail and that direct financompetitive level playing field across the baciliahing tervention by the ESM in individual banks union. It is likely however that some memiliters unlocked before all these parameters are states will try, at least in a first phase, to negrettiaine order to allow swift and effective crisis manexceptions for sections of their respective bandringent and resolution. However, it is also desirsystems with particularly strong links with addel that euro-area leaders achieve consistency and regional environments. Such exception bétare en their short-term and long-term planning, the general framework of banking union, washickthat an early version of a future European would also encompass separate depositervisor can be set up rapidly and provide coninsurance systems, appear unadvisable frotin thitey of approach beyond the short-term phase standpoint of policy consistency amed beyond the possible lifetime of a temporary effectiveness, but may be inevitable to reactsoaution authority, if such an option is indeed political consensus at least in an initial platasen.

They may concern the GerSipparkassen-

Finanzgruppevith the possible exception of @belook

Landesbankerwithin it, and perhaps also

Germany's cooperative bank systeks (anks Even under optimistic assumptions, the situation and Raiffeisenbank and DZ-Bank). Whether other euro area will remain affected by high levels exceptions will be sought by member states of the euro attaility. Many observers and investors than Germany remains to be seen. have gradually lost hope in the euro area's ability to resolve its problems. They are not encouraged

In terms of geographical scope, the genbyaWhat they perceive as a state of denial affect-adopted working assumption is that the baimlgrageveral senior European policymakers, about union would be identical in perimeter to the bethrothe severity of the region's problems and the area. However, it can also be envisaged three disto maintain or regain investors' trust to perimeter would be wider and include somes the them. In their narrative, the euro area is member states that may not join the euro attenta diverse to survive as a monetary union, and the short term, say Poland or Denmark. This entire digal forces are too strong to be contained. create additional complexity and potential risks,

but it is technically conceivable and may be share the view that Europe's current institutions

are not strong enough to contain such forces indefinitely, but the European Union is and remains a work in progress and is capable of change. The completion of a fourfold union would create a much more robust and resilient framework that could enable decisions to repair investors' trust and keep centrifugal forces in check. Arguments that Europe is too diverse for stronger central institutions to exist do not hold up to scrutiny. India is one example of a fairly stable democratic polity whose internal historical, social,

'The European Union is and remains a work in progress and is capable of change. The completion of a fourfold union would create a much more robust and resilient framework that could enable decisions to repair investors' trust and keep centrifugal forces in check.'



economic, religious, ethnic and linguistic divents either the euro area will hold together, and the is greater than in the European Union, let along ethere is hard to predict. Euro-area leadeuro area. Among more advanced economissicis, cluding Greece's, might come to the conclu-Canada and Switzerland are other exampsites that further transfer of economic sovereignty stable, yet diverse and multilingual democrate ecee to the euro-area level is the only way to Many pessimistic observers underestimate retreent a disorderly dislocation. If this happens, extent to which well-designed political institutions issue of European institutions' democratic can tie different communities, provided the recisoa antability, in other terms the political union desire to hold together.

rently the case. Similarly, if a legal impasse is European integration has been a process of exactlited as the consequence of future rulings of ical innovation from the start. There is no premany's constitutional court about crisis mandent, and still no equivalent elsewhere inaghenent initiatives, a major strengthening of the world, for the kind of supranational institudiem ocratic underpinnings of EU institutions might building that has been going on in Europebeirthe only way to overcome the court's reservation. Even though parallels might be drawtiowith against more transfer of decision-making some cases of constitution of federations, prantiereds the supranational level.

ularly the United States in the 1780s and Canada

in the 1860s, these cases are too different is no easy, simple or painless way to resolve Europe to have any predictive relevance. About thro-area crisis successfully. An enormous all innovation, success can neither be takefforts of adjustment and transformation lies given nor considered impossible.

ahead, in addition to the substantial sacrifices already incurred by Europe's member states and

In the specific case of the euro area, powerfulizenes. Achieving a fourfold union as described facto solidarities' exist and make the bloc imeoeeis indispensable to avoid a disorderly and resilient than superficial observation mightdisagstrous euro-area breakup. Time and stamina gest. These solidarities are of a different matube needed. The changes involved are signififrom those involved in earlier steps of European but not impossible. The European Union does integration, and are often ill-understood inclodingave to become a 'superstate' to overcome the in the European economic policy and reseasich and will remain a hybrid in which compocommunity itself, as the noisy debate aboutest nation-states play an irreducible role. The called Target2 imbalances among Eurosystementation of Europe's financial, economic and central banks, among others, has illustratedial space that has occurred since the crisis They are particularly strong in the case of the dead is damaging and worrying, but has not many, the euro area's pivotal member state eached a point of no-return beyond which it could not be reversed. The euro area faces daunting

Nonetheless, Greece remains the litmus telsalteinges, but is far from condemned to failure.